

Sarniguet C et al., *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* identification, from literature to routine analysis: how to make morphological analysis reliable. In: Schröder, T. (ed.), Pine Wilt Disease Conference 2013, pp. 96-97, Braunschweig, ISSN: 1866-590X

(101) *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* identification, from literature to routine analysis: how to make morphological analysis reliable.

Sarniguet C, Buisson A, Anthoine G

Laboratoire de la santé des végétaux, Unité de nématologie, Domaine de la Motte, BP35329, F-35653 Le Rheu cedex, France

Email: corinne.sarniguet@anses.fr

Official surveys on *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* are conducted in a compulsory manner since 2000 in European Union including in France. Considering the Portuguese situation and the dispersal of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* throughout its territory and recent occurrences in Spain, the reliability of sampling and analysis undertaken is a critical issue to early detect any further dispersal.

The implementation of quality assurance for official analysis also led to evaluate the reliability of identification methods, based on morphology or on molecular principles.

Published morphological identification keys to *xylophilus* group (Braasch *et al.* 2009) and to *B. xylophilus* species levels (EPPO 2009) were considered for evaluation. The keys were submitted to a panel of slides including *B. xylophilus* individuals, males and females. Conclusions were drawn about the possibility to observe specific criteria, such as lateral lines number, shape of spicules, number of caudal papillae and presence of vulval flap for the group level (Table 1), and the position of excretory pore for species level (Table 2). Some criteria were shown not to be reliable for routine use: number of caudal papillae and excretory pore position.

Consequently, reliable identification keys for *B. xylophilus* were designed (Table 3) and validated according to a standardized process and taking into account recommendations from EPPO protocol (EPPO 2010).

Additional results are available in Sarniguet *et al.* (2013).

REFERENCES

Braasch H; Burgermeister W; Gu J (2009). Revised intra-generic grouping of *Bursaphelenchus* Fuchs, 1937 (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae). Journal of Nematode Morphology and Systematics 12 (1), 65-88.

EPPO (2009). EPPO standards PM7/4 (2) Diagnostics. *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO bulletin* 39, 344-353.

EPPO (2010). EPPO standards PM7/98 (1) Diagnostics. Specifics requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for plant pest diagnostic activity. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO bulletin* 40, 5-22.

Sarniguet C; Buisson A; Anthoine G (2013). Validation of morphological keys for identification of *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (Nematoda, Parasitaphelenchidae) to group and species level. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO bulletin* 43 (2), 255-261.

Table 1. Results of evaluation of the *xylophilus* group key according to Braasch *et al.* (2009): number of conform observation/total number of observations

Observed criteria	Females	Males
Lateral lines	23/60	41/60
Spicules	NA	60/60
Caudal papillae	NA	0/60
Vulval flap	46/60	NA

NA: not applicable

Table 2. Results of evaluation of the EPPO (2009) *B. xylophilus* identification key: number of times the criteria is observed/ total number of observations

Features to be observed	Results
Excretory pore not observed	29/60
Excretory pore not at expected place	11/60
Position of the excretory pore conform to <i>B. xylophilus</i>	20/60

Table 3. Key designed for *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* species identification from female individuals

1	Female with conical or slender tail with or without mucro	not <i>B. xylophilus</i>
	Female with sub-cylindrical tail	2
2	Female with sub-cylindrical tail, rounded end without mucro	<i>B. xylophilus</i>
	Female with sub-cylindrical tail with a terminal mucro	not <i>B. xylophilus</i> or <i>B. xylophilus</i> mucronate form (1)

(1) molecular identification needed